1 when you read his credentials and listen to 2 his testimony. 3 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I have to 4 apply the appropriate weight. That's my job. 5 MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir. 6 MR. LYON: And, Your Honor, again, 7 I would note МУ objection at the orappropriate time I'll make my objection to 8 9 Detective Shilling testifying as an expert 10 having not been designated and having not 11 given a report. made this point 12 severa1 I 13 times. I'm not going to run it into the 14 ground. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, Ι ADMIN. 16 think we've taken it about as far as we can. 17 I was just trying to be fair to both side by 18 pointing out what my concerns are. And it's 19 not a personal attack against the situation 20 that Detective Shilling is in with respect to 21 this case and his testimony. But 22 certainly is -- in my mind, there

1 element of fear and this with respect to equal 2 treatment on both sides in terms of bringing 3 in expert testimony. I mean, that bothers me. And I'm trying to figure out in my mind how to 4 5 handle it and still giving both sides an 6 opportunity to put their case in. 7 I discussed it about it with you 8 now today as much as I can. We're going to 9 have the pre-hearing. We're going to go over 10 carefully this testimony very at the 11 admissions session. And it may take us, you 12 know, a fairly long time to do it. But I have 13 to hear argument on both sides before I can 14 make a ruling on this stuff. 15 When I say this "stuff" again, I 16 don't mean to demean it in anyway. It's a 17 shorthand way of saying that the testimony 18 being proffered with that's respect Detective Shilling, all the way up to page 10 19 20 of 10. 21 this problem comes And, again,

back to the fact that he was never proffered

1	\ as the procedures that I laid out in the
2	beginning weren't followed. So, now the
3	burden becomes the Court's to have to deal
4	with this.
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry?
7	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't
8	remember you ever telling us that
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Because you
10	never told me yo were going to proffer an
11	expert. That was I mean, if
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I looked at
13	Rule 26. You told him that if he was going to
14	hire an expert, Rule 26 applies.
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: He didn't
16	have to hire an expert. He could proffer an
17	expert. Maybe the expert would have said,
18	gee. I feel so strongly about this case, I'm
19	going to do it for nothing. And that happens.
20	That happens.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Rule 26
22	doesn't apply, right?

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Of course it
2	does. He's proffering him as a witness, as an
3	expert witness.
4	You don't have to pay a person to
5	proffer him as an expert witness.
6	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: The way I
7	read Rule 26, it say, if you retain or employ
8	an expert.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, are
10	you retaining or employing. Okay.
11	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No. We did
12	neither with respect to Detective Shilling.
13	He's a fact witness who when we met with him
14	we found out his expertise would help
15	MR. LYON: Your Honor, I think
16	that
17	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I don't
18	think it's
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a
20	minute. Wait a minute. I can' hear two at
21	one.
22	MR. LYON: I'm sorry.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: You finish
2	yours, Mr
3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. When
4	I talked to him I realized that his expertise
5	where you were making a decision in this
6	matter be included what he had to say about
7	Mr. Titus in our testimony.
8	It was not like we engaged him to
9	use this model. He uses this model as part of
10	his job as a police officer for Seattle. He's
11	required to use this model.
12	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, if I
13	might add.
14	We didn't go out and retain an
15	expert to talk about the process of assessing
16	a person for a Level 2 or Level 3. We didn't
17	go out and get some independent individual and
18	hold that person out as an expert.
19	Detective Shilling is the person
20	who was involved. He's the sole person. He
21	is the key person in charge of assessing sex
22	offenders in the Seattle Police Department and

1 involved in assessing David Titus' 2 status as a sex offender. So, he's a fact 3 witness. He happens to be an expert in that 4 field by his experience and training. 5 can explain all his credentials. All right. 6 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: 7 I hear what you're saying. Your Honor, if I could 8 MR. LYON: 9 be heard. 10 Rule 26 uses two words. Employ, 11 retain. The Bureau, I think, is giving a very 12 negatively definition to retain. Retain means to use and to proffer to the Court as an 13 expert. That's what they're doing with Mr. 14 15 Shilling. 16 The fact that they haven't 17 employed him, that Seattle is paying a salary 18 reasonable doesn't matter. Under any 19 definition of the word "retain". They've 20 retained him. He's their witness. They're offering him and I think I was entitled to a 21

report.

1 )	ADMIN. JÜDGE SIPPEL: And you're
2	saying this you're saying that, oh, no. We
3	don't fit within the rubric of those words,
4	retain or employ?
5	MR. SCHONMAN: Exactly.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay.
7	I'll tell you what I want. I want
8	by April the 9th a bench brief on the question
9	of what the meaning of those terms are in Rule
10	26B. That's number one.
11	And number two, I want the Bureau
12	to explain exactly why it is that they never
13	proffered this man as an expert testimony for
14	examination under Rule 26B.
15	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, I can
16	do that right now.
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: No, no, no,
18	no, no, no. I want to see it put down in
19	writing.
20	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir.
21	MR. LYON: Your Honor, you want a
22	brief from both of us on

1)	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. They
2	don't have yes. Just cross briefs.
3	MR. LYON: Not a party to theirs.
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Better yet,
5	you reply.
6	MR. LYON: Okay. Can I reply by
7	April 11th?
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you
9	can do it earlier. I mean, I'm not yes.
10	Let's not hold the conversation here.
11	Do you want to go off the record
12	and talk we'll do that.
13	MR. LYON: Yes.
14	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll go off
15	the record and talk.
16	(Whereupon, off the record from
17	9:54 a.m. to 9:55 a.m.)
18	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
19	You'll be given a chance to consult outside.
20	Now, what's going on?
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: As the part
22	of the bench brief, Your Honor, we may find

1 )	that George's definition of retain is an
2	appropriate definition and
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL:
4	Inappropriate or
5	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If it is an
6	appropriate definition
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I can't hear
8	you. Is it inappropriate you're using or is
9	it
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: If it is
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Appropriate?
12	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: an
13	appropriate definition.
14	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I hear you
15	now. Thank you.
16	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Okay. We'll
17	agree to strike the parts where he says in my
18	opinion from his testimony and just say, this
19	is what I'm required to do as part of my job
20	is use this model and
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, okay.
22	Let me leave it this way then.

While I'm in Europe, you can work this out amongst yourselves. If you can't, I want the bench brief that I've indicated to you on Tuesday the 1st to be exchanged and submitted to me. And then a response will come in on the 7th of April from Mr. Lyon.

And the issue is the two issues. The meaning with respect you're giving to retain an employment under rule 26 of the Federal Rules the specific reasons you're giving as to why you did not tender Mr. Shilling an as expert witness under those procedures of Rule 26.

But as I say if you stipulate to something or if you work this out amongst yourselves and we don't have to go down that road, then fine.

MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, it may come down to having Detective Shilling testify as a fact witness with the recognition that he is a very experienced individual in the field in which he practices.

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Whatever the
2	record supports, the findings should support.
3	Should follow it.
4	It has to be relevant. It has to
5	be probative and it has to be within the
6	ground rules of the procedures that are
7	followed in these cases. And coupled with the
8	procedures that I have prescribed for use in
9	this case.
10	All right. Let's not beat a dead
11	horse. Now, I think we know where we are on
12	this point.
13	What's the next point? The next
14	point is cross examination by speaker phone.
15	What is the Bureau's position on that?
16	MR. LYON: I think they've reached
17	an agreement.
18	MR. SCHONMAN: We have. Detective
19	Shilling will appear in person as will Mr.
20	Titus.
21	Dr. Allmon will appear by speaker
22	phone. We've agreed on that.

And Mr. Sherman, another Bureau
witness will appear by speaker phone. And I
believe that there are a number of character
witnesses on behalf of Mr. Titus who we did
not notice for cross examination.
ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
MR. SCHONMAN: Although we do
question the number of them. We think there
are an excessive number of testimonials.
If Your Honor doesn't have a
problem with the number of testimonials, then
we will not raise an objection to it.
ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: How many are
there?
MR. LYON: Give me a second, Your
Honor. There are 11, Your Honor, although as
you noted, our submission is quite brief.
It's one or two pages per person.
ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
I'll permit it. I'll permit. I mean,
obviously this all goes to weight. But, if
that's the way Mr. Titus wants to present his

1	case and it's not going to be disruptive and
2	I appreciate the Bureau taking the position
3	that they'll hold back from insisting on cross
4	examining these types of witnesses. I'll let
5	it come in the way that you want to do it.
6	MR. LYON: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Okay.
8	What else was on my list? That's
9	about it, isn't it?
10	MR. LYON: I think the question of
11	rebuttal may still be outstanding.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's
13	talk about rebuttal.
14	MR. LYON: Your Honor, I think
15	under all the circumstances I would probably
16	like to submit written rebuttal, expert
17	testimony in advance. It's probably going to
18	be Dr. Allmon and Dr. Natalie Novik-Brown.
19	And there may be some additional rebuttal
20	testimony by Lieutenant Steele. I haven't
21	made a decision on that.
22	If Your Honor rules as I hope that

1 you will rule with respect Detective to 2 Shilling's testimony, some of that rebuttal 3 may not be necessary. But given that I can't 4 make that determination in advance, 5 don't want to put the rebuttal witnesses under 6 time pressure, and I'd also like to wrap this 7 hearing up as quickly as possible for my client's financial benefit, I think it's most 8 9 appropriate to submit the rebuttal in advance. 10 And it also can't be any prejudice 11 to the Bureau to have that information when 12 they're doing their direct case. 13 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, 14 suppose two things were done? 15 I'm picking up on the Bureau's 16 I'll call it proffer to eliminate proffer. 17 the opinion -- ask that the opinion aspects of 18 the Shilling testimony. Supposing that they 19 recast that testimony along the lines that we discussed here or that they proffered to do 20 2.1 rather than go over it and, you know, say no 22 And at the same time that you objection now.

- 1	1
1	could proffer to them what would be your
2	rebuttal if it's needed. You want to tell
3	them. I mean, you're right. But I don't
4	want to say that because you prepare it and
5	that it's given to them that you're
6	automatically going to be able to use it at
7	the close of the case as evidence.
8	MR. LYON: I understand.
9	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I have to
10	decide whether or not rebuttal is needed for
11	purposes of the record.
12	MR. LYON: I understand, Your
13	Honor.
14	I would hope that the Bureau and I
15	could come to an agreement, but I think the
16	Bureau's view of the facts in Mr. Shilling's
17	testimony is substantial and more liberal than
18	my view. And my view is that distilling the
19	facts in Shilling's testimony probably knocks
20	it down to a half a page.
21	So, I am not optimistic I can come
22	to an agreement with them. I certainly will

1 give it the best shot, Your Honor. 2 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, I'm not asking the Bureau to commit 3 4 itself in advance, but I'm expecting to see it cast in a lot different light than it has been 5 in Exhibit 3 as we see it now. 6 7 But, you know, that's right. We have to just wait and see. 8 9 Now the other option would be and I've indicated this before. I don't know if 10 11 this meets your economic, you know, economies of the case for you. But we could 12 wait until the whole record is in and then you 13 could make, you know, give you a week or a 14 15 couple of days to decide whether or not you 16 want to go forward with your rebuttal or hear argument on rebuttal -- argue the need for 17 rebuttal after we close the cases in chief. 18 19 Think about that. 20 MR. LYON: Quite honestly it's a hard decision. I think I'd rather get it done 21

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

in advance.

7 )	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Right. 1
2	hear you.
3	MR. LYON: And if it's not needed,
4	it's not needed.
5	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Let's
6	do it that way. Well, that's fine. No. It's
7	perfectly appropriate. But I'm going to take
8	it as being a proffer and at the time that the
9	Bureau gives you their recast you're not
10	objecting to doing that. Is that correct?
11	The recasting of Exhibit 3 which is Detective
12	Shilling's testimony?
13	MR. SCHONMAN: When you say recast
14	are you talking about
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm talking
16	about what you said you were going to do.
17	MR. SCHONMAN: Having us rewriting
18	the whole thing or just striking references
19	here and there as we would do at an admission
20	session?
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: No. I was
22	talking about the former. I mean, since

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	you've got the time, the time before an
2	admission session that does it's not that
3	lengthy a document that in light of what I
4	heard in the courtroom that his opinion
5	statements would be taken out. That would be
6	the easiest way to do it. Then we wouldn't
7	have to spend that much time in an admission
8	session going over the thing line by line.
9	Except with the caveat, of course, as Mr. Lyon
10	said, that he's not going to agree with
11	everything that you do on it.
12	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, I don't
13	know how much opinion there is in here. I
14	mean, we could
15	MR. LYON: Your Honor, if I may.
16	Why don't I and the Bureau attempt in good
17	faith to negotiate this point. I'm not
18	sanguine that it will happen but I'll give it
19	my best show.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
21	What do you say to that, Mr.
22	Schonman?

1 We could talk with MR. SCHONMAN: 2 Mr. Lyon. 3 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well. I ' m 4 going to have to issue an order, but I will 5 condition my order with respect to anything 6 that the parties can agree to between now and 7 the date we're going to have the admission 8 I certainly would go along within 9 certain parameters, of course. I think maybe 24 hours after this 10 11 pre-hearing conference maybe things 12 loosen up a little bit and you can start talking a little bit about what might be 13 14 workable, if I'm making myself clear. What I'm trying to do is obviously 15 16 get the contentions out of the atmosphere as 17 much as I possibly can with respect to these procedural and evidentiary points so that we 18 19 can move the case in and get on with it. 20 I'm part of the culprit so I'm not criticizing 21 anybody here in terms of where we are.

feel very strongly about these things.

1	Now so anyway. That that
2	well, so but, oh. I know what the question
3	I had was. All right. So, if we do have
4	Novik-Brown and Steele and Allmon on rebuttal,
5	that with written statements, then the cross
6	examination would be conducted by speaker
7	phone?
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: No, sir.
9	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, we don't
10	know.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Why
12	don't you know? I'm sorry.
13	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We agreed to
14	Dr. Allmon because we met him and he's a
15	straight shooter and we didn't think his
16	demeanor was necessary. But we don't even
17	know who these people are.
18	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: So, what
19	you're saying is that your position might be
20	that they're required to come in and testify
21	live in rebuttal?
22	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes, sir.

1 (	Absolutely. We've not met them. We've not
2	deposed them. We've not spoken with them.
3	MR. LYON: You mean Novik-Brown
4	and Steele?
5	MR. SCHONMAN: Dr. Allmon, yes.
6	Dr. Allmon is a different story.
7	MR. LYON: Dr. Allmon by speaker
8	phone.
9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We've spent
10	thousands of dollars to meet him and get to
11	know him.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I
12 13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I hear you.
	<del>-</del>
13	hear you.
13 14	hear you.  What was your position on that?
13 14 15	hear you.  What was your position on that?  Is there any way that we can resolve that this
13 14 15 16	hear you.  What was your position on that?  Is there any way that we can resolve that this  morning or do I have to just rule on it?
13 14 15 16 17	hear you.  What was your position on that?  Is there any way that we can resolve that this morning or do I have to just rule on it?  MR. LYON: It will cost my client
13 14 15 16 17 18	hear you.  What was your position on that?  Is there any way that we can resolve that this morning or do I have to just rule on it?  MR. LYON: It will cost my client a substantial amount of money to bring Dr.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hear you.  What was your position on that?  Is there any way that we can resolve that this morning or do I have to just rule on it?  MR. LYON: It will cost my client a substantial amount of money to bring Dr.  Brown. Lieutenant Steele is a different

1	I don't know if the Commission has
2	the capability to have video testimony here or
3	not. It's not an issue that I've ever had to
4	deal with.
5	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, I'm
6	sorry?
7	MR. LYON: I think if we have an
8	Internet line in here then it can be done.
9	But, again, I don't know.
10	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, we can
11	look into that. We'll notice to look into it
12	anyway.
13	But, I'm sorry, Mr. Schonman.
14	MR. SCHONMAN: I have a question
15	if I might direct it to Mr. Lyon.
16	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure.
17	MR. SCHONMAN: Is the rebuttal
18	witness Steele, is that Lieutenant Stephanie
19	Steele?
20	MR. LYON: Yes.
21	MR. SCHONMAN: So, you're
22	contemplating bringing Lieutenant Steele in,

1 a police lieutenant in as an expert witness? 2 MR. LYON: As a rebuttal witness. 3 Not -- not as an expert. Well, actually maybe as an expert in police procedure. 4 This is still forming in my mind so --5 Well, now is the 6 SCHONMAN: 7 place to share with the judge what you're contemplating. 8 You're proposing putting in 9 rebuttal evidence in advance which the judge will read, although the judge can disregard it 10 if he finds that rebuttal is not necessary. 11 12 But now we're going down the road with a 13 potential expert witness and we've just gotten done for the past hour discussing expert 14 Now, we're hearing for the first 15 witnesses. 16 time that you may have an additional expert witness in the nature of a police officer. 17 That seems to 18 MR. LYON: Yes. 19 As a rebuttal, there is no give you pause. 20 requirement under Rule 26 to tender a report 21 for a rebuttal witness.

But, again, I'm not sure that I'm

1 going to bring Lieutenant Steele. I'm not 2 sure that I even need to. But, Lieutenant Steele has raised some issues with me as to 3 4 the Mercer Island incident and the way police 5 officers tend to behave under certain circumstances. And I'm thinking that might be 6 7 something that would assist the trier of fact. 8 Again, I'm still evaluating it and 9 I don't think I have to designate rebuttal 10 witnesses at this point. I think the judge may give me a date or I'm willing to agree to 11 a date in advance of the hearing to do so. 12 I just want to make 13 MR. SCHONMAN: 14 sure I understand. Lieutenant Stephanie Steele is a 15 16 police officer in Idaho and contemplating bringing her in as an expert to 17 18 talk about procedures in Mercer Island. 19 I don't think you MR. LYON: No. 20 understood me. I said is that Lieutenant 21 What Steele may testify as to how police officers 22

1 behave under certain circumstances. 2 Specifically, when they have a witness who 3 they think is not giving them 4 respect. 5 MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, it 6 remains to be seen how that would assist you 7 in determining whether Mr. Titus' 8 should be revoked or not. But that's not an 9 issue that we have to cross right now, 10 suppose. 11 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, don't 12 worry about me and what I might need. 13 whether or not you're going to -- I'm only 14 interested in terms of how you might present 15 your case. And if you're going to object to 16 that and know you're going to object to that I'd like to hear. 17 now, so raise it. Ιf 18 you're going to wait and see what happens, we 19 can do that too. 20 SCHONMAN: Well, I have no MR. idea what Lieutenant Steele would testify 21 22 about. I know --